

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/742,115	12/22/2000	Atsushi Terahara	2185-0497P	7905
2292	7590 10/01/2002			
BIRCH STEWART KOLASCH & BIRCH			EXAMINER	
PO BOX 747 FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747			MERCADO, JULIAN A	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1745	7
			DATE MAILED: 10/01/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

						
		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		09/742,115	TERAHARA ET AL.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Julian A. Mercado	1745			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app r Reply	ears on the cover she it with th	correspondence address			
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failur - Any re	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Issions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing of patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be to within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) do rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDON	imely filed ays will be considered timely. m the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
1)[Responsive to communication(s) filed on					
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Thi	is action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims						
·	Claim(s) <u>1-13</u> is/are pending in the application					
-	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw					
		WITHOUT CONSIDERATION.				
·	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
	Claim(s) is/are rejected.					
·	Claim(s) is/are objected to.	de etie e er evise mend				
	Claim(s) <u>1-13</u> are subject to restriction and/or e	section requirement.				
9) 🗌 .	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.				
10) 🔲 -	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ accep	oted or b) objected to by the Ex	aminer.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in abeyance.	See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.						
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep	bly to this Office action.				
12) 🗌 🗀	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer.				
Pri rity u	ınder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	(a)-(d) or (f).			
a)[☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:					
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.				
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received in Applica	ition No			
* 9	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Buse the attached detailed Office action for a list	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).				
	cknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	·				
а) ☐ The translation of the foreign language pro Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	visional application has been re	eceived.			
Attachmen	t(s)					
2) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _	5) Notice of Informa	ary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Il Patent Application (PTO-152)			
.S. Patent and T	rademark Office					

Application/Control Number: 09/742,115

Art Unit: 1745

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-10 and 13, drawn to the product, classified in class 429, subclass 33.
- II. Claims 11 and 12, drawn to the method of making, classified in class 522, subclass 149.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the process as claimed can be used to make other materially different products such as in sulfonation of either a poly(phenylene ether), glycidyl ether or epoxy resin precursor sans an aromatic polyether precursor as part of the block copolymer formation.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

a. species (I) claims 3 and 4, drawn to a poly(phenylene ether) repeating unit

Application/Control Number: 09/742,115

Art Unit: 1745

- b. species (II) claim 5, drawn to a glycidyl ether repeating unit
- c. species (III) claims 6 and 7, drawn to an epoxy resin repeating unit
- d. species (IV) claims 8 and 9, drawn to an aromatic polyether repeating unit

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 1, 2, 10 and 13 are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should Applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Application/Control Number: 09/742,115

Art Unit: 1745

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Julian A. Mercado whose telephone number is (703) 305-0511. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Patrick J. Ryan, can be reached on (703) 308-2383. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 305-3599 for regular communications and (703) 305-3599 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

September 30, 2002

Patrick Ryan
Supervisory Patent Examiner
Technology Center 1700